PLEASE NOTE: Legislative Information *cannot* perform research, provide legal advice, or interpret Maine law. For legal assistance, please contact a qualified attorney.

#### An Act To Establish Child Custody and Domestic Violence Presumptions Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

- **Sec. 1. 19-A MRSA §1653, sub-§3, ¶H,** as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:
  - H. The capacity of each parent to allow and encourage frequent and continuing contact between the child and the other parent, including physical access, except that the court may not consider this capacity if one parent shows that the other parent has engaged in domestic abuse against the parent or a child and that continuing contact with the other parent will endanger the health or safety of either the parent or the child;
- **Sec. 2. 19-A MRSA §1653, sub-§6,** as enacted by PL 1995, c. 694, Pt. B, §2 and affected by Pt. E, §2, is amended to read:
- 6. Conditions of parental rights and responsibilities and parent-child contact in cases involving domestic abuse. The court shall award parental rights and responsibilities and establish conditions of parent-child contact in cases involving domestic abuse as follows.
  - A. A court may award primary residence of a minor child or parent-child contact with a minor child to a parent who has committed domestic abuse only if the court finds that contact between the parent and child is in the best interest of the child and that adequate provision for the safety of the child and the parent who is a victim of domestic abuse can be made.
  - A-1. There is a rebuttable presumption that a parent who has a history of perpetrating domestic abuse may not be awarded sole or joint parental rights and responsibilities with regard to a child. The court may find a history of perpetrating domestic abuse if the court finds that one incident of domestic abuse has resulted in serious bodily injury or the court finds more than one incident of domestic abuse. The presumption may be rebutted only by a preponderance of the evidence that the perpetrating parent has successfully completed a batterers' intervention treatment program and is not abusing alcohol or illegally using drugs, and that the best interest of the child requires that parent's participation because of the other parent's absence or substance abuse or other circumstances that affect the best interest of the child. The fact that the abused parent suffers from the effects of the abuse is not grounds for denying that parent primary residence. Subsection 3, paragraph H may not be used to rebut this presumption.
  - A-2. If the court finds that both parents have a history of perpetrating domestic abuse, sole parental rights and responsibilities must be awarded to the parent who is less likely to continue to perpetrate domestic abuse as demonstrated by an absence or lesser history of such abuse. In such a case, the court shall mandate completion of a batterers' intervention treatment program by the parent with sole parental rights and responsibilities.

- A-3. If the court finds that a parent has a history of perpetrating domestic abuse, the court shall allow only supervised parent-child contact with that parent, conditioned upon that parent's participation in a batterers' intervention treatment program. Unsupervised contact may be allowed only if it is shown by a preponderance of the evidence that the abusive parent has completed a batterers' intervention treatment program, is not abusing alcohol or illegally using drugs and poses no danger to the child, and that such parent-child contact is in the child's best interest. The parent who may have parent-child contact only when supervised is responsible for the entire cost of the supervised visits.
- B. In an order of parental rights and responsibilities, a court may:
  - (1) Order an exchange of a child to occur in a protected setting;
  - (2) Order contact to be supervised by another person or agency;
  - (3) Order the parent who has committed domestic abuse to attend and complete to the satisfaction of the court a domestic abuse intervention program or other designated counseling as a condition of the contact:
  - (4) Order either parent to abstain from possession or consumption of alcohol or controlled substances, or both, during the visitation and for 24 hours preceding the contact;
  - (5) Order the parent who has committed domestic abuse to pay a fee to defray the costs of supervised contact;
  - (6) Prohibit overnight parent-child contact; and
  - (7) Impose any other condition that is determined necessary to provide for the safety of the child, the victim of domestic abuse or any other family or household member.
- C. The court may require security from the parent who has committed domestic abuse for the return and safety of the child.
- D. The court may order the address of the child and the victim to be kept confidential.
- E. The court may not order a victim of domestic abuse to attend counseling with the parent who has committed domestic abuse.

- F. If a court allows a family or household membersupervised visitation center is within a reasonable distance, the court shall order that contact occur at the supervised visitation center. If a supervised visitation center is not within a reasonable distance, the court may allow a trusted 3rd party, acceptable to both parents, to supervise parent-child contact, the. The court shall establish conditions to be followed during that contact. Conditions include but are not limited to:
  - (1) Minimizing circumstances when the family of the parent who has committed domestic abuse would be supervising visits;
  - (2) Ensuring that contact does not damage the relationship with the parent with whom the child has primary physical residence;
  - (3) Ensuring the safety and well-being of the child; and
  - (4) Requiring that supervision is provided by a person who is physically and mentally capable of supervising a visit and who does not have a criminal history or history of abuse or neglect.
- G. Fees set forth in this subsection incurred by the parent who has committed domestic abuse may not be considered as a mitigating factor reducing that parent's child support obligation.
- H. The court shall order that psychological testing may not occur in cases involving domestic abuse.
- **Sec. 3. 19-A MRSA §1653, sub-§6-A,** as amended by PL 2007, c. 513, §2, is further amended to read:
- 6-A. Custody and contact limited; sexual offenses. The award of primary residencesole parental rights and responsibilities and parent-child contact with a person who has been convicted of perpetrated a child-related sexual offense is governed by this subsection.
  - A. For the purposes of this section, "child-related sexual offense" means the following sexual offenses if, at the time of the commission of the offense, the victim was under 18 years of age: any violation of Title 17-A, chapter 11.
    - (1) Sexual exploitation of a minor, under Title 17-A, section 282;
    - (2) Gross sexual assault, under Title 17-A, section 253;
    - (3) Sexual abuse of a minor, under Title 17-A, section 254;
    - (4) Unlawful sexual contact, under Title 17-A, section 255-A or former section 255;

- (5) Visual sexual aggression against a child, under Title 17-A, section 256;
- (6) Sexual misconduct with a child under 14 years of age, under Title 17-A, section 258;
- (6-A) Solicitation of a child by computer to commit a prohibited act, under Title 17-A, section 259; or
- (7) An offense in another jurisdiction that involves conduct that is substantially similar to that contained in subparagraph (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) or (6-A). For purposes of this subparagraph, "another jurisdiction" means the Federal Government, the United States military, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the United States Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa and each of the several states except Maine. "Another jurisdiction" also means the Passamaquoddy Tribe when that tribe has acted pursuant to Title 30, section 6209-A, subsection 1, paragraph A or B and the Penobscot Nation when that tribe has acted pursuant to Title 30, section 6209-B, subsection 1, paragraph A or B.
- A-1. There is a presumption that a parent who has a history of perpetrating sexual offenses, child-related or otherwise, may not be awarded sole or joint parental rights and responsibilities with regard to a child. The court may find a history of perpetrating sexual offenses if one incident has been verified by medical care providers, the Department of Health and Human Services or a law enforcement agency. The presumption may be rebutted by a preponderance of the evidence that the perpetrating parent has successfully completed a sexual abuser intervention treatment program of at least one year in length, is not abusing alcohol or illegally using drugs and that the best interest of the child requires that parent's participation. The fact that the abused parent suffers from the effects of the abuse is not grounds for denying that parent primary residence.
- B. A court may award primary residence of a minor child or parent-child contact with a minor child to a parent who has been convicted of a child-related sexual offense only if the court finds that contact between the parent and child is in the best interest of the child and that adequate provision for the safety of the child can be made.
- C. In an order of parental rights and responsibilities, a court may require that parent-child contact between a minor child and a person convicted of a child-related who has perpetrated a sexual offense may occur only if there is another person or an agency or a trusted 3rd party acceptable to both parents present to supervise the contact. The parent who may have parent-child contact only when supervised is responsible for the entire cost of the supervised visits. If the court allows a family or household member to supervise parent-child contact, the court shall establish conditions to be followed during that contact. Conditions include, but are not limited to, those that:
  - (1) Minimize circumstances when the family of the parent who is a sex offender or sexually violent predator would be supervising visits;

- (2) Ensure that contact does not damage the relationship with the parent with whom the child has primary physical residence;
- (3) Ensure the safety and well-being of the child; and
- (4) Require that supervision be provided by a person who is physically and mentally capable of supervising a visit and who does not have a criminal history or history of abuse or neglect.
- **Sec. 4. 19-A MRSA §1653, sub-§6-B,** as amended by PL 2007, c. 513, §3, is further amended to read:
- **6-B. Conviction or adjudication for certain sex offenses; presumption.** There is a rebuttable presumption that the petitioner would create a situation of jeopardy for the child if <del>any contact</del> were to be permitted and that any contact is not in the best interests of the child if the court finds that the person seeking primary residence or contact with the childthe abusing parent:
  - A. Has been convicted of an offense listed in subsection 6-A, paragraph A in which the victim was a minor at the time of the offense and the person was at least 5 years older than the minor at the time of the offense except that, if the offense was gross sexual assault under Title 17-A, section 253, subsection 1, paragraph B or C, or an offense in another jurisdiction that involves conduct that is substantially similar to that contained in Title 17-A, section 253, subsection 1, paragraph B or C, and the minor victim submitted as a result of compulsion, the presumption applies regardless of the ages of the person and the minor victim at the time of the offense; or
  - B. Has been adjudicated in an action under Title 22, chapter 1071 of sexually abusing a person who was a minor at the time of the abuse.

The person seeking primary residence or contact with the child may present evidence to rebut the presumption.

#### **Sec. 5. 19-A MRSA §1653, sub-§6-C** is enacted to read:

6-C. Evidence when domestic abuse present. The court shall appoint a domestic abuse expert recognized by the Attorney General's office, who is not a guardian ad litem, for all cases involving domestic abuse. The expert shall employ the highest and best recognized domestic abuse paradigms and lethality assessments as approved by the Attorney General's office. The Department of Health and Human Services' domestic violence protocol must be followed and used by all guardians ad litem and domestic abuse experts. The expert and the expert's report must carry the same weight in all parental rights and responsibilities matters as the report of the guardian ad litem. Full disclosure of any and all medical, mental health and employment records for both parents must be provided to and considered by the domestic abuse expert.

The court shall allow testimony via telephone or other electronic means when national experts on parental rights and responsibilities and domestic abuse are involved.

#### **Sec. 6. 19-A MRSA §1653, sub-§6-D** is enacted to read:

6-D. Relocation. If a parent relocates with the child due to domestic abuse or threat of harm, including real fear based on past history of such threats and abuse, the absence or relocation is not a factor that weighs against the absent or relocated parent in determining parental rights and responsibilities.

In every proceeding in which there is at issue a dispute as to the primary residence of a child, a determination by the court that domestic abuse has occurred raises a rebuttable presumption that it is in the best interest of the child to reside with the parent who is not a perpetrator of domestic abuse in the location of that parent's choice, within or outside of the State.

#### **SUMMARY**

This bill creates a rebuttable presumption in the awarding of sole and joint parental rights and responsibilities in cases of domestic abuse in a family. It is presumed that a parent who has a history of perpetrating domestic abuse will not be awarded primary residence or shared residence with children. This bill is modeled on law enacted in Louisiana and many other states, as well as on the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges' model code.